

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/922,496	CARNALL, MARK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James R. Brittain	3677	

All Participants:

(1) James R. Brittain.

(2) Nathan J. Prepelka.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
None


Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I contacted Mr. Prepelka and informed him that prior to preparing a Notice of Abandonment I was calling him as a courtesy to inquire of him if a response on the merits had been made to the Office letter of April 21, 2005 and he informed me that none had been made. I then indicated I would prepare for mailing a Notice of Abandonment..